

5. (Amended) Method according to claim 1,
wherein said reanalysis of the received utterance (U) is based on a sentence analysis, in particular based on a grammar, syntax, semantic analysis and/or the like.
6. (Amended) Method according to claim 1,
wherein a thorough estimation is made on whether or not said extracted keywords and/or key-phrases are accepted or rejected.


REMARKS

Claims 1-8 remain in the application. Claims 4-6 have been amended to eliminate multiple dependencies. Attached hereto is a marked up version of the changes made to claims 4-6 by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned **"Version with markings to show changes made."** The filing fee has been calculated based upon these amendments to the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicant

By:


William S. Frommer
Reg. No. 25,506
Tel. (212) 588-0800

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**In the claims:**

4. (Amended) Method according to claim 1 ~~anyone of the preceding claims~~,
wherein for said rough estimation on accepting/rejecting the utterance a rough and/or simple confidence measure (CMU) for the entire utterance (U) is determined.
5. (Amended) Method according to claim 1 ~~anyone of the preceding claims~~,
wherein said reanalysis of the received utterance (U) is based on a sentence analysis, in particular based on a grammar, syntax, semantic analysis and/or the like.
6. (Amended) Method according to claim 1 ~~anyone of the preceding claims~~,
wherein a thorough estimation is made on whether or not said extracted keywords and/or key-phrases are accepted or rejected.

T.04T.T. 09636560